arXiv:1303.1065v1 [math.RA] 5 Mar 2013

The derivation algebra and automorphism group of the (generalized) twisted $N=2$ superconformal algebra

Huanxia Fa$^{1,2)}$

$^{1)}$Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics and School of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

$^{2)}$School of Mathematics and Statistics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, China

E-mail: sd_huanxia@163.com

Abstract. In this paper, we determine the derivation algebra and automorphism group of the twisted $N=2$ superconformal algebra. Then we generalize the relative results to the generalized twisted $N=2$ superconformal algebra in the final section.

Key words: the twisted $N=2$ superconformal algebra, derivation algebras, automorphism groups

MR(2000) Subject Classification: 17B05, 17B40, 17B65

1 Introduction

It is well known that the superconformal algebras are closely related the conformal field theory and the string theory and play important roles in both mathematics and physics, which were constructed in [8] and [1] independently. As for the $N=2$ superconformal algebras, there are four sectors: the Neveu-Schwarz sector, the Ramond sector, the topological sector and the twisted sector, all of which are closely related to the well-known Virasoro algebra and the super-Virasoro algebra. A series of results have been gained on these algebras (e.g., [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 7, 9] and the correspondingly cited references).

The *twisted $N=2$ superconformal algebra* $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is an infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ with the basis $\{L_n,\ T_r,\ G_p,\ \mathfrak{c}\mid n\in\mathbb{Z},\ r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z},\ p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\}$, admitting the following non-vanishing super brackets:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[L_m,L_n]=(m-n)L_{n+m}+\tfrac{m^3-m}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}\mathfrak{c},\\
&[L_m,T_r]=-rT_{r+m}, \qquad\qquad [T_r,T_s]=\tfrac{r}{3}\delta_{r+s,0}\mathfrak{c},\\
&[L_m,G_p]=(\tfrac{m}{2}-p)G_{p+m}, \qquad [T_r,G_p]=G_{p+r},\\
&[G_p,G_q]=\begin{cases}(-1)^{2p}\big(2L_{p+q}+\frac{1}{3}(p^2-\frac{1}{4})\delta_{p+q,0}\mathfrak{c}\big) & \text{if } p+q\in\mathbb{Z},\\ (-1)^{2p+1}(p-q)T_{p+q} & \text{if } p+q\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}.\end{cases}
\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

Supported by NSF grants 11101056, 11271056 of China

Obviously, $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded: $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{0}}\oplus\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{1}}$, with

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{0}}=\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_m,\,T_r,\,\mathfrak{c}\,|\,m\in\mathbb{Z},\,r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}\},\qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{1}}=\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{G_p\,|\,p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\},$$

and the Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}L_0+\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$. One can easily see that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ contains the well-known Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}ir=\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_n,\,\mathfrak{c}\,|\,n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, the super-Virasoro algebras $\mathcal{NS}$ (the $N=1$ Neveu-Schwarz algebra) spanned by $\{L_n,\,G_r,\,\mathfrak{c}\,|\,n\in\mathbb{Z},r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}\}$, and $\mathcal{R}$ (the $N=1$ Ramond algebra) spanned by $\{L_n,\,G_n,\,\mathfrak{c}\,|\,n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$.

First we recall some definitions and notations. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}\oplus\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ be a Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{C}$. All elements below are assumed to be $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homogeneous, where $\mathbb{Z}_2=\{\bar{0},\,\bar{1}\}$. For $x\in\mathfrak{g}$, we always denote $[x]\in\mathbb{Z}_2$ to be its *parity*, i.e., $x\in\mathfrak{g}_{[x]}$. A $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homogenous linear map $\mathfrak{d}:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}$ such that there exists $[\mathfrak{d}]\in\mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}})\subset\mathfrak{g}_{[\bar{i}+[\mathfrak{d}]]}$ for all $\bar{i}\in\mathbb{Z}_2$ satisfying

$$\mathfrak{d}([x,y])=[\mathfrak{d}(x),y]+(-1)^{[\mathfrak{d}][x]}[x,\mathfrak{d}(y)]\quad\text{ for }\ x,\,y\in\mathfrak{g},\tag{1.2}$$

is called a Lie superalgebra *homogenous derivations of parity* $[\mathfrak{d}]$. The derivation $\mathfrak{d}$ is called *even* if $[\mathfrak{d}]=\bar{0}$, *odd* if $[\mathfrak{d}]=\bar{1}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Der}_{\bar{i}}(\mathfrak{g})$ the set of homogenous derivations of parity $\bar{i}$. Then $\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g})=\mathrm{Der}_{\bar{0}}(\mathfrak{g})\oplus\mathrm{Der}_{\bar{1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the derivation algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ the inner derivation algebra.

It is easy to see that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra: $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}=\oplus_{p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_p$ where $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_p=\{x\in\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\,|\,[L_0,x]=-p\,x\}$. $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is also $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$-graded: $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})=\oplus_{p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Der}_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ where $\mathrm{Der}_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})=\{d\in\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})\,|\,d(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha)\subseteq\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha+p},\ \alpha\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\}$.

The result on the derivation algebra $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ of the twisted $N=2$ superconformal algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ can be formulated as the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})=\mathrm{ad}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$.

In order to introduce the related corollary, we first present some relative notations. Firstly, let us recall some related definitions based on a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by τ the *super-twist map* of $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}$: $\tau(x\otimes y)=(-1)^{[x][y]}y\otimes x$ for any $x,\,y\in\mathfrak{g}$ and ξ the *super-cyclic map* cyclically permuting the coordinates of $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 3}$: $\xi=(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tau)\cdot(\tau\otimes\mathbf{1}):\,x_1\otimes x_2\otimes x_3\mapsto(-1)^{[x_1]([x_2]+[x_3])}x_2\otimes x_3\otimes x_1$ for any $x_i\in\mathfrak{g}$, $i=1,2,3$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity map of $\mathfrak{g}$. A *Lie superalgebra* is a pair $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ consisting of a vector space $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}\oplus\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ and a bilinear map $\varphi:\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying:

$$\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}},\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{j}})\subset\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{i}+\bar{j}},\quad \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}-\tau)\subset\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi,\quad \varphi\cdot(\mathbf{1}\otimes\varphi)\cdot(\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}+\xi+\xi^2)=0.$$

A *Lie super-coalgebra* is a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ consisting of a vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar 0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar 1}$ and a linear map $\Delta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying:

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar i}) \subset \sum_{\bar j \in \mathbb{Z}_2} \mathfrak{g}_{\bar j} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\bar i - \bar j}, \quad \operatorname{Im}\Delta \subset \operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} - \tau), \quad (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \xi + \xi^2) \cdot (\mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta) \cdot \Delta = 0.$$

Now one can give the definition of a Lie super-bialgebra, which is a triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \Delta)$ satisfying: (i) $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$is a Lie superalgebra, (ii) $(\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$is a Lie super-coalgebra, (iii) $\Delta\varphi(x \otimes y) = x * \Delta y - (-1)^{[x][y]} y * \Delta x$, for any $x,\ y \in \mathfrak{g}$, where the symbol "$*$" means the *adjoint diagonal action*: $x * (\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i) = \sum_i ([x, a_i] \otimes b_i + (-1)^{[x][a_i]} a_i \otimes [x, b_i]),\ \ \forall\ x,\ a_i,\ b_i \in \mathfrak{g}$, and in general $[x, y] = \varphi(x \otimes y)$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ the *universal enveloping algebra* of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, then the following elements are in $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$: $r^{12} = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \otimes \mathbf{1} = r \otimes \mathbf{1}$, $r^{23} = \sum_i \mathbf{1} \otimes a_i \otimes b_i = \mathbf{1} \otimes r$, $r^{13} = \sum_i a_i \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes b_i = (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau)(r \otimes \mathbf{1}) = (\tau \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes r)$, while the following elements are in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$: $[r^{12}, r^{23}] = \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes [b_i, a_j] \otimes b_j$, $[r^{12}, r^{13}] = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{[a_j][b_i]} [a_i, a_j] \otimes b_i \otimes b_j$, $[r^{13}, r^{23}] = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{[a_j][b_i]} a_i \otimes a_j \otimes [b_i, b_j]$.

A *coboundary super-bialgebra* is a quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \Delta, r)$, where $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \Delta)$ is a Lie super-bialgebra and $r \in \operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} - \tau) \subset \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\Delta = \Delta_r$ is a *coboundary of* r, where $\Delta_r(x) = (-1)^{[r][x]} x * r$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, a coboundary Lie super-bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, \Delta, r)$ is called *triangular* if it satisfies the following *classical Yang-Baxter Equation* $c(r) := [r^{12}, r^{13}] + [r^{12}, r^{23}] + [r^{13}, r^{23}] = 0$.

For any $\mathfrak{g}$-module V, denote $V^{\mathfrak{g}} = \{v \in V \mid \mathfrak{g}.v = 0\}$.

The following lemma can be found in [10], which is generalized from the Lie algebra case given in [11].

Lemma 1.2 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie superalgebra such that $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{g}}$, $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ are all equal to zero. Then for any one dimensional central extension $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, there is a linear embedding from $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ into $H^1(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. In particular, if $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) = 0$, then $H^1(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0$.*

Denote $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ with $\mathfrak{c} = 0$ by $\mathcal{L}$. The following theorem is the main result of [5].

Theorem 1.3 *$H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L})$ and every Lie super-bialgebra structure on $\mathcal{L}$ is coboundary triangular.*

Theorem 1.1 implies $H^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}) = 0$ and $H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$. It is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ and $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}} = 0$. Combining Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we can deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4 *$H^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ and every Lie super-bialgebra structure on $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is coboundary triangular.*

Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ the automorphism group and inner automorphism group of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. For any $\varphi\in\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ and $x,\,y\in\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, we have

$$\varphi(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar 0})=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar 0},\quad \varphi(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar 1})=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar 1},\quad \varphi([x,y])=[\varphi(x),\varphi(y)]. \tag{1.3}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is generated by

$$\exp(l_0\,\mathrm{ad}\,L_0)\quad\text{for some } l_0\in\mathbb{C}^*.$$

Then $\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})\cong\mathbb{C}^*$. For any $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, denote $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

Theorem 1.5 $\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})=\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})\rtimes\mathbb{Z}_4$.

The following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.5 and $\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})\cong\mathbb{C}^*$.

Corollary 1.6 $\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})=\mathbb{C}^*\rtimes\mathbb{Z}_4$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1 It will follow from a series of lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 $\mathrm{Der}_{\bar 1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})=\mathrm{ad}_{\bar 1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$.

Proof For any $\mathfrak{d}_p\in\mathrm{Der}_{\bar 1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})\cap\mathrm{Der}_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ with $p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we always have $\mathfrak{d}_p(\mathfrak{c})=0$.

If $p\in\mathbb{Z}$, we can assume

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{d}_p(L_i)=a_{p,i}G_{p+i},\qquad \mathfrak{d}_p(T_r)=b_{p,r}G_{p+r},\\&\mathfrak{d}_p(G_q)=\begin{cases}c_{p,q}L_{p+q}+e_q\delta_{p+q,0}\mathfrak{c} & \text{if } q\in\mathbb{Z},\\ d_{p,q}T_{p+q} & \text{if } q\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z},\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $a_{p,i},b_{p,r},c_{p,q},d_{p,q},e_q\in\mathbb{C}$.

According to the assumption that $\mathfrak{d}_p$ is a derivation, we have the following identity:

$$\mathfrak{d}_p([T_r,T_s])=[\mathfrak{d}_p(T_r),T_s]+[T_r,\mathfrak{d}_p(T_s)],\quad\forall\ r,\ s\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z},$$

which gives

$$b_{p,r}G_{p+r+s}=b_{p,s}G_{p+r+s}.$$

Then $b_{p,r} = b_{p,s}$ for any $r,\ s \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$, which implies that $b_{p,r}$ is a constant. For convenience, we denote

$$b_{p,r} = b_p, \quad \forall\ p \in \mathbb{Z},\ r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.2}$$

According to the identity $\mathfrak{d}_p([L_i, T_r]) = [\mathfrak{d}_p(L_i), T_r] + [L_i, \mathfrak{d}_p(T_r)]$, we obtain

$$rb_{p,r+i}G_{p+r+i} = a_{p,i}G_{p+r+i} + (p+r-\frac{i}{2})b_{p,r}G_{p+r+i}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of G_{p+r+i}, one has

$$rb_{p,r+i} = a_{p,i} + (p+r-\frac{i}{2})b_{p,r},$$

which together with (2.2), gives

$$a_{p,i} = (\frac{i}{2}-p)b_p, \quad \forall\ p,\ i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.3}$$

For any $r,\ q \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathfrak{d}_p([T_r, G_q]) = [\mathfrak{d}_p(T_r), G_q] + [T_r, \mathfrak{d}_p(G_q)]$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{p,q+r}L_{p+q+r} + e_{q+r}\delta_{p+q+r,0}\mathfrak{c} - d_{p,q}\frac{r}{3}\delta_{p+q+r,0}\mathfrak{c} \\ & = (-1)^{2(p+r)}b_{p,r}\big(2L_{p+q+r} + \frac{4(p+r)^2-1}{12}\delta_{p+q+r,0}\mathfrak{c}\big). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of L_{p+q+r} and $\mathfrak{c}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} c_{p,q+r} &= -2b_{p,r}, \\ e_{-p} &= \frac{r}{3}d_{p,-p-r} - \frac{4(p+r)^2-1}{12}b_{p,r}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.2), give

$$c_{p,n} = -2b_p, \tag{2.4}$$

$$e_{-p} = \frac{r}{3}d_{p,-p-r} - \frac{4(p+r)^2-1}{12}b_p, \tag{2.5}$$

for any $p,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$.

For any $r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the identity $\mathfrak{d}_p([T_r, G_q]) = [\mathfrak{d}_p(T_r), G_q] + [T_r, \mathfrak{d}_p(G_q)]$ gives

$$d_{p,q+r}T_{p+q+r} = (-1)^{2(p+r)+1}(p+r-q)b_{p,r}T_{p+q+r} + rc_{p,q}T_{p+q+r}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of T_{p+q+r} and using (2.2) with (2.4), we obtain

$$d_{p,q+r} = (p - q - r)b_p,$$

which implies

$$d_{p,q} = (p - q)b_p, \quad \forall\ p \in \mathbb{Z},\ q \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.6}$$

Using (2.5) and (2.6), one can deduce

$$e_{-p} = -\frac{1}{3}(p^2 - \frac{1}{4})b_p, \quad \forall\ p \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.7}$$

Combing the identities given in (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7), $\mathfrak{d}_p(L_i)$, $\mathfrak{d}_p(T_r)$ and $\mathfrak{d}_p(G_q)$ referred in (2.1) can be respectively rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{d}_p(L_i) = (\tfrac{i}{2} - p)b_p G_{p+i}, \quad \mathfrak{d}_p(T_r) = b_p G_{p+r}, \quad \mathfrak{d}_p(\mathfrak{c}) = 0,\\
&\mathfrak{d}_p(G_q) = \begin{cases} -2b_p L_{p+q} - \frac{1}{3}(p^2 - \frac{1}{4})b_p\delta_{p+q,0}\mathfrak{c} & \text{if } q \in \mathbb{Z},\\ (p-q)b_p T_{p+q} & \text{if } q \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z},\end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

for any p, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Noticing

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p)(L_i) = (\tfrac{i}{2} - p)b_p G_{p+i}, \quad \mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p)(T_r) = b_p G_{p+r}, \quad \mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p)(\mathfrak{c}) = 0,\\
&\mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p)(G_q) = \begin{cases} -2b_p L_{p+q} - \frac{1}{3}(p^2 - \frac{1}{4})b_p\delta_{p+q,0}\mathfrak{c} & \text{if } q \in \mathbb{Z},\\ (p-q)b_p T_{p+q} & \text{if } q \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z},\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

for any p, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we claim that

$$\mathfrak{d}_p = \mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p), \quad \forall\ p \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.9}$$

If $p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, we can assume (for convenience, we still use the same notations)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{d}_p(L_i) = a_{p,i}G_{p+i}, \qquad \mathfrak{d}_p(T_r) = b_{p,r}G_{p+r},\\
&\mathfrak{d}_p(G_q) = \begin{cases} c_{p,q}L_{p+q} + e_q\delta_{p+q,0}\mathfrak{c}, & \text{if } q \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z},\\ d_{p,q}T_{p+q}, & \text{if } q \in \mathbb{Z},\end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $a_{p,i}$, $b_{p,r}$, $c_{p,q}$, $d_{p,q}$, $e_q \in \mathbb{C}$.

Using the following two identities:

$$\mathfrak{d}_p([L_i, T_r]) = [\mathfrak{d}_p(L_i), T_r] + [L_i, \mathfrak{d}_p(T_r)], \quad \forall\ i \in \mathbb{Z},\ r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\mathfrak{d}_p([T_r, T_s]) = [\mathfrak{d}_p(T_r), T_s] + [T_r, \mathfrak{d}_p(T_s)], \quad \forall\ r,\ s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z},$$

we can deduce (for convenience, we denote $b_{p,\frac{1}{2}}$ by b_p for any $p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$)

$$a_{p,i} = (\frac{i}{2} - p)b_p, \quad b_{p,r} = b_p, \quad \forall\ i \in \mathbb{Z},\ p,\ r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.11}$$

For any $p,\ q \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathfrak{d}_p([L_0, G_q]) = [\mathfrak{d}_p(L_0), G_q] + [L_0, \mathfrak{d}_p(G_q)]$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} &(p+q)c_{p,q}L_{p+q} - q(c_{p,q}L_{p+q} + e_q\delta_{p+q,0}\mathfrak{c}) \\ &= a_{p,0}(-1)^{2p}\big(2L_{p+q} + \frac{1}{3}(p^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta_{p+q,0}\mathfrak{c}\big). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of L_{p+q} and $\mathfrak{c}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} qc_{p,q} &= (p+q)c_{p,q} - 2pb_p, \\ pe_{-p} &= \frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{4} - p^2)a_{p,0}, \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$c_{p,q} = 2b_p, \quad e_{-p} = \frac{1}{3}(p^2 - \frac{1}{4})b_p, \quad \forall\ p,\ q \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.12}$$

For any $p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathfrak{d}_p([L_0, G_q]) = [\mathfrak{d}_p(L_0), G_q] + [L_0, \mathfrak{d}_p(G_q)]$, which gives

$$qd_{p,q}T_{p+q} = a_{p,0}(-1)^{2p+1}(q-p)T_{p+q} + (p+q)d_{p,q}T_{p+q}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of T_{p+q}, we have

$$qd_{p,q} = a_{p,0}(q-p) + (p+q)d_{p,q},$$

which together with (2.11), forces

$$d_{p,q} = (q-p)b_p, \quad \forall\ p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z},\ q \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.13}$$

Combing the identities given in (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13), $\mathfrak{d}_p(L_i)$, $\mathfrak{d}_p(T_r)$ and $\mathfrak{d}_p(G_q)$ referred in (2.10) can be respectively rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{d}_p(L_i) = (\tfrac{i}{2} - p)b_pG_{p+i}, \quad \mathfrak{d}_p(T_r) = b_pG_{p+r}, \quad \mathfrak{d}_p(\mathfrak{c}) = 0, \\ &\mathfrak{d}_p(G_q) = \begin{cases} 2b_pL_{p+q} + \frac{1}{3}(p^2 - \frac{1}{4})b_p\delta_{p+q,0}\mathfrak{c} & \text{if } q \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \\ (q-p)b_pT_{p+q} & \text{if } q \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for any $p,\ i\in\mathbb{Z}$, $r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Noticing

$$\mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p)(L_i)=(\tfrac{i}{2}-p)b_pG_{p+i},\quad \mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p)(T_r)=b_pG_{p+r},\quad \mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p)(\mathfrak{c})=0,$$
$$\mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p)(G_q)=\begin{cases}2b_pL_{p+q}+\frac{1}{3}(p^2-\frac{1}{4})b_p\delta_{p+q,0}\mathfrak{c} & \text{if } q\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z},\\ (q-p)b_pT_{p+q} & \text{if } q\in\mathbb{Z},\end{cases}$$

for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, $p,\ r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we claim that

$$\mathfrak{d}_p=\mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p),\quad \forall\ p\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z},$$

which combining with (2.9), gives

$$\mathfrak{d}_p=\mathrm{ad}(-b_pG_p),\quad \forall\ p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then this lemma follows. □

Lemma 2.2 *For any* $\mathfrak{D}_p\in\mathrm{Der}_{\bar{0}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})\cap\mathrm{Der}_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ *with* $p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^*$, *we always have* $\mathfrak{D}_p\in\mathrm{ad}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$.

Proof It is clear that $\mathfrak{D}_p(\mathfrak{c})=0$ for any $\mathfrak{D}_p\in\mathrm{Der}_{\bar{0}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})\cap\mathrm{Der}_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ and $p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^*$.

For any $p,\ i\in\mathbb{Z}$, $r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we can write

$$\mathfrak{D}_p(L_i)=a_{p,i}L_{p+i}+m_i\delta_{p+i,0}\mathfrak{c}, \tag{2.14}$$
$$\mathfrak{D}_p(T_r)=b_{p,r}T_{p+r},\quad \mathfrak{D}_p(G_q)=c_{p,q}G_{p+q}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $a_{p,i},b_{p,r},c_{p,q},m_i\in\mathbb{C}$. For any $p,\ i\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have the following identity:

$$\mathfrak{D}_p([L_i,L_0])=[\mathfrak{D}_p(L_i),L_0]+[L_i,\mathfrak{D}_p(L_0)],$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}&i(a_{p,i}L_{p+i}+m_i\delta_{p+i,0}\mathfrak{c})\\ &=(p+i)a_{p,i}L_{p+i}+a_{p,0}\big((i-p)L_{p+i}+\frac{i^3-i}{12}\delta_{p+i,0}\mathfrak{c}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of L_{p+i} and $\mathfrak{c}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}ia_{p,i}&=(p+i)a_{p,i}+(i-p)a_{p,0},\\ pm_{-p}&=a_{p,0}\frac{p^3-p}{12},\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$a_{p,i}=\frac{p-i}{p}a_p,\qquad m_{-p}=\frac{p^2-1}{12}a_p, \tag{2.16}$$

for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $p\in\mathbb{Z}^*$, where $a_{p,0}$ is denoted by a_p for any $p\in\mathbb{Z}^*$.

According to the fact that $\mathfrak{D}_p([L_0,T_r])=[\mathfrak{D}_p(L_0),T_r]+[L_0,\mathfrak{D}_p(T_r)]$, we obtain

$$rb_{p,r}T_{p+r}=ra_pT_{p+r}+(p+r)b_{p,r}T_{p+r},$$

for any $p\in\mathbb{Z}^*$ and $r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$, which implies

$$b_{p,r}=-\frac{r}{p}a_p,\ \ \forall\ p\in\mathbb{Z}^*,\ r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.17}$$

The identity $\mathfrak{D}_p([L_0,G_q])=[\mathfrak{D}_p(L_0),G_q]+[L_0,\mathfrak{D}_p(G_q)]$ gives

$$qc_{p,q}G_{p+q}=a_p(q-\frac{p}{2})G_{p+q}+(p+q)c_{p,q}G_{p+q},$$

for any $p\in\mathbb{Z}^*$ and $q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, from which we can deduce

$$c_{p,q}=(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{q}{p})a_p,\ \ \forall\ p\in\mathbb{Z}^*,\ q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.18}$$

Combing the identities given in (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18), $\mathfrak{D}_p(L_i)$, $\mathfrak{D}_p(T_r)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_p(G_q)$ presented in (2.14) can be respectively rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{D}_p(L_i)=\tfrac{p-i}{p}a_pL_{p+i}+\tfrac{p^2-1}{12}a_p\delta_{p+i,0}\mathfrak{c},\quad \mathfrak{D}_p(\mathfrak{c})=0,\\ &\mathfrak{D}_p(T_r)=-\tfrac{r}{p}a_pT_{p+r},\qquad \mathfrak{D}_p(G_q)=(\tfrac{1}{2}-\tfrac{q}{p})a_pG_{p+q},\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, $p\in\mathbb{Z}^*$, $r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Noticing

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{ad}(\tfrac{a_p}{p}L_p)(L_i)=\tfrac{p-i}{p}a_pL_{p+i}+\tfrac{p^2-1}{12}a_p\delta_{p+i,0}\mathfrak{c},\quad \mathrm{ad}(\tfrac{a_p}{p}L_p)(\mathfrak{c})=0,\\ &\mathrm{ad}(\tfrac{a_p}{p}L_p)(T_r)=-\tfrac{r}{p}a_pT_{p+r},\qquad \mathrm{ad}(\tfrac{a_p}{p}L_p)(G_q)=(\tfrac{1}{2}-\tfrac{q}{p})a_pG_{p+q},\end{aligned}$$

for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, $p\in\mathbb{Z}^*$, $r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we claim that

$$\mathfrak{D}_p=\mathrm{ad}(\frac{a_p}{p}L_p),\quad \forall\ p\in\mathbb{Z}^*. \tag{2.20}$$

For any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, p, $r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we can write

$$\mathfrak{D}_p(L_i)=a_{p,i}T_{p+i},\ \ \mathfrak{D}_p(T_r)=b_{p,r}L_{p+r}+n_r\delta_{p+r,0}\mathfrak{c},\ \ \mathfrak{D}_p(G_q)=c_{p,q}G_{p+q}, \tag{2.21}$$

where $a_{p,i}$, $b_{p,r}$, $c_{p,q}$, $n_r \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the following identity:

$$\mathfrak{D}_p([L_i, L_0]) = [\mathfrak{D}_p(L_i), L_0] + [L_i, \mathfrak{D}_p(L_0)],$$

which gives

$$i a_{p,i} = a_{p,i}(p+i) - p a_{p,0}, \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z},\, p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z},$$

and further implies

$$a_{p,i} = a_p, \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z},\, p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.22}$$

where $a_{p,0}$ is denoted by a_p for any $p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. The following identity: $\mathfrak{D}_p([L_0, T_r]) = [\mathfrak{D}_p(L_0), T_r] + [L_0, \mathfrak{D}_p(T_r)]$ gives

$$r(b_{p,r} L_{p+r} + n_r \delta_{p+r,0} \mathfrak{c}) = b_{p,r}(r+p) L_{p+r} - a_p \frac{p}{3} \delta_{p+r,0} \mathfrak{c}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of L_{p+r} and $\mathfrak{c}$, we obtain

$$r b_{p,r} = (r+p) b_{p,r}, \quad p n_{-p} = \frac{p}{3} a_p,$$

for any r, $p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, which imply

$$b_{p,r} = 0, \quad n_{-p} = \frac{1}{3} a_p. \tag{2.23}$$

For any $p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathfrak{D}_p([L_0, G_q]) = [\mathfrak{D}_p(L_0), G_q] + [L_0, \mathfrak{D}_p(G_q)]$, from which we can get

$$q c_{p,q} G_{p+q} = (p+q) c_{p,q} G_{p+q} - a_p G_{p+q}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of G_{p+q}, we have

$$q c_{p,q} = (p+q) c_{p,q} - a_p,$$

for any $p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, which implies

$$c_{p,q} = \frac{1}{p} a_p, \ \ \forall\, p \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z},\, q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.24}$$

Combing the identities given in (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24), $\mathfrak{D}_p(L_i)$, $\mathfrak{D}_p(T_r)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_p(G_q)$ referred in (2.21) can be respectively rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{D}_p(L_i) = a_p T_{p+i}, \quad \mathfrak{D}_p(\mathfrak{c}) = 0, \\ &\mathfrak{D}_p(T_r) = \tfrac{a_p}{3} \delta_{p+r,0} \mathfrak{c}, \quad \mathfrak{D}_p(G_q) = \tfrac{a_p}{p} G_{p+q}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, p, $r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Noticing

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{ad}(\tfrac{a_p}{p}T_p)(L_i)=a_pT_{p+i},\quad \mathrm{ad}(\tfrac{a_p}{p}T_p)(\mathfrak{c})=0,\\&\mathrm{ad}(\tfrac{a_p}{p}T_p)(T_r)=\tfrac{a_p}{3}\delta_{p+r,0}\mathfrak{c},\quad \mathrm{ad}(\tfrac{a_p}{p}T_p)(G_q)=\tfrac{a_p}{p}G_{p+q},\end{aligned}$$

for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, p, $r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we claim that

$$\mathfrak{D}_p=\mathrm{ad}(\frac{a_p}{p}T_p),\quad \forall\ p\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.25}$$

Then this lemma follows from (2.20) and (2.25). □

Lemma 2.3 *If* $\mathfrak{D}_0\in\mathrm{Der}_{\bar{0}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})\cap\mathrm{Der}_0(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$*, then* $\mathfrak{D}_0\in\mathrm{ad}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$.

Proof For any $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, $r\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $q\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we can write

$$\mathfrak{D}_0(\mathfrak{c})=\alpha_0\mathfrak{c},\ \ \mathfrak{D}_0(L_i)=a_iL_i+m_i\delta_{i,0}\mathfrak{c},\ \ \mathfrak{D}_0(T_r)=b_rT_r,\quad \mathfrak{D}_0(G_q)=c_qG_q, \tag{2.26}$$

where a_i, b_r, c_q, m_i, $\alpha_0\in\mathbb{C}$. For any i, $j\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have the following identity:

$$\mathfrak{D}_0([L_i,L_j])=[\mathfrak{D}_0(L_i),L_j]+[L_i,\mathfrak{D}_0(L_j)],$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}&(i-j)(a_{i+j}L_{i+j}+m_{i+j}\delta_{i+j,0}\mathfrak{c})+\frac{i^3-i}{12}\alpha_0\delta_{i+j,0}\mathfrak{c}\\&=(a_i+a_j)\big((i-j)L_{i+j}+\frac{i^3-i}{12}\delta_{i+j,0}\mathfrak{c}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of L_{i+j} and $\mathfrak{c}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(i-j)a_{i+j}=(i-j)(a_i+a_j),\\&2im_0+\frac{i^3-i}{12}\alpha_0=(a_i+a_{-i})\frac{i^3-i}{12},\quad \forall\ i,\ j\in\mathbb{Z},\end{aligned}$$

from which we can deduce

$$m_0=\alpha_0=0\quad\text{and}\quad a_i=ia_1,\quad \forall\ i\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.27}$$

Then $\mathfrak{D}_0(\mathfrak{c})$ and $\mathfrak{D}_0(L_i)$ referred in (2.26) can be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{D}_0(\mathfrak{c})=0,\quad \mathfrak{D}_0(L_i)=ia_1L_i,\quad \forall\ i\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.28}$$

For any r, $s \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$, we have the following identity:

$$\mathfrak{D}_0([T_r, T_s]) = [\mathfrak{D}_0(T_r), T_s] + [T_r, \mathfrak{D}_0(T_s)],$$

which together with (2.28), gives

$$\frac{r}{3}(b_r + b_s)\delta_{r+s,0}\mathfrak{c} = 0.$$

Then we can deduce

$$b_{-r} = -b_r, \quad \forall\ r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}.$$

According to the identity $\mathfrak{D}_0([L_i, T_r]) = [\mathfrak{D}_0(L_i), T_r] + [L_i, \mathfrak{D}_0(T_r)]$, we obtain

$$rb_{i+r}T_{i+r} = r(a_i + b_r)T_{i+r}, \quad \forall\ i \in \mathbb{Z},\ r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of T_{i+r} and recalling (2.28), we have

$$b_{i+r} = ia_1 + b_r, \quad \forall\ i \in \mathbb{Z},\ r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z},$$

from which we can deduce

$$b_r = ra_1, \quad \forall\ r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.29}$$

Then $\mathfrak{D}_0(T_r)$ referred in (2.26) can be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{D}_0(T_r) = ra_1T_r. \tag{2.30}$$

For any p, $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we have the following identity:

$$\mathfrak{D}_0([G_p, G_q]) = [\mathfrak{D}_0(G_p), G_q] + [G_p, \mathfrak{D}_0(G_q)],$$

which gives

$$\begin{cases} c_p + c_q = a_{p+q} & \text{if} \quad p+q \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ c_p + c_q = b_{p+q} & \text{if} \quad p+q \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Then recalling (2.27) and (2.29), we can deduce

$$c_q = qa_1, \quad \forall\ q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{D}_0(G_q)$ referred in (2.26) can be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{D}_0(G_q) = qa_1G_q, \quad \forall\ q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.31}$$

Then according to (2.28), (2.29) and (2.31), we know that $\mathfrak{D}_0(T_r)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_0(G_q)$ referred in (2.26) have been rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{D}_0(\mathfrak{c}) = 0, \quad \mathfrak{D}_0(L_i) = ia_1L_i, \\ &\mathfrak{D}_0(T_r) = ra_1T_r, \quad \mathfrak{D}_0(G_q) = qa_1G_q, \end{aligned}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{ad}(-a_1L_0)(\mathfrak{c}) = 0, \quad \mathrm{ad}(-a_1L_0)(L_i) = ia_1L_i, \\ &\mathrm{ad}(-a_1L_0)(T_r) = ra_1T_r, \quad \mathrm{ad}(-a_1L_0)(G_q) = qa_1G_q, \end{aligned}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we get $\mathfrak{D}_0 = \mathrm{ad}(-a_1L_0)$. Then the lemma follows. □

By now we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Proof of Theorem 1.5 For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$, we can suppose

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma(L_0) = a_0L_0 + c_0\mathfrak{c}, \quad \sigma(\mathfrak{c}) = m_0\mathfrak{c}, \\ &\sigma(L_i) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j}L_j + \sum_{s\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}} b_{i,s}T_s + c_i\mathfrak{c}, \\ &\sigma(T_r) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} d_{r,j}L_j + \sum_{s\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}} e_{r,s}T_s + f_r\mathfrak{c}, \\ &\sigma(G_q) = \sum_{p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} n_{q,p}G_p, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where a_0, m_0, $a_{i,j}$, $b_{i,s}$, c_i, $d_{r,j}$, $e_{r,s}$, f_r, $n_{q,p} \in \mathbb{C}$.

We first claim that $a_0 \neq 0$. Otherwise, if $a_0 = 0$, for any $x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_p$ with $p \neq 0$, we have

$$-p\sigma(x) = [\sigma(L_0), \sigma(x)] = [c_0\mathfrak{c}, \sigma(x)] = 0,$$

which is impossible. Thus $a_0 \neq 0$.

For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, applying σ on $[L_0, L_i] = -iL_i$, we have

$$a_0\big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} ja_{i,j}L_j + \sum_{s\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}} sb_{i,s}T_s\big) = i\big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j}L_j + \sum_{s\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}} b_{i,s}T_s + c_i\mathfrak{c}\big).$$

Comparing the coefficients of L_j, T_s and $\mathfrak{c}$, we obtain

$$(a_0 j - i)a_{i,j} = 0, \quad (a_0 s - i)b_{i,s} = 0, \quad ic_i = 0,$$

which imply

$$a_{i,j} = b_{i,s} = c_i = 0, \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z}^*,\, j \neq \frac{i}{a_0},\, s \neq \frac{i}{a_0}. \tag{3.2}$$

Furthermore, we claim that $a_0 \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$. Otherwise, $\sigma(L_i)$ referred in (3.1) can be rewritten as $\sigma(L_i) = 0$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, which is impossible. Thus $a_0 \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$.

For any $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, applying σ on $[L_0, T_r] = -rT_r$, we have

$$a_0\big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} j d_{r,j} L_j + \sum_{s\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}} s e_{r,s} T_s\big) = r\big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} d_{r,j} L_j + \sum_{s\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}} e_{r,s} T_s + f_r \mathfrak{c}\big).$$

Comparing the coefficients of L_j, T_s and $\mathfrak{c}$, we obtain

$$(a_0 j - r)d_{r,j} = 0, \quad (a_0 s - r)e_{r,s} = 0, \quad rf_r = 0,$$

which imply

$$d_{r,j} = e_{r,s} = f_r = 0, \quad \forall\, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z},\, j \neq \frac{r}{a_0},\, s \neq \frac{r}{a_0}. \tag{3.3}$$

We claim that $a_0 \notin \{\pm 2\}$. Otherwise, $\sigma(T_r)$ referred in (3.1) can be rewritten as $\sigma(T_r) = 0$ for any $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, which is impossible. Thus $a_0 \in \{\pm 1\}$.

For any $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, applying σ on $[L_0, G_q] = -qG_q$, we have

$$a_0 \sum_{p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} p n_{q,p} G_p = q \sum_{p\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} n_{q,p} G_p.$$

Comparing the coefficients of G_p, we obtain

$$(a_0 p - q)n_{q,p} = 0,$$

which together with $a_0 \in \{\pm 1\}$, implies

$$n_{q,p} = 0, \quad \forall\, p \neq \frac{q}{a_0}. \tag{3.4}$$

Combining the identities given in (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we can rewrite $\sigma(L_i)$, $\sigma(T_r)$ and $\sigma(G_q)$ referred in (3.1) as follows:

$$\sigma(L_i) = a_{i,\frac{i}{a_0}} L_{\frac{i}{a_0}}, \quad \sigma(T_r) = e_{r,\frac{r}{a_0}} T_{\frac{r}{a_0}}, \quad \sigma(G_q) = n_{q,\frac{q}{a_0}} G_{\frac{q}{a_0}}, \tag{3.5}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, $r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ with $a_0 \in \{\pm 1\}$.

For the case $a_0 = 1$, using (3.5), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma(\mathfrak{c}) = m_0\mathfrak{c}, \quad \sigma(L_i) = a_iL_i + c_0\delta_{i,0}\mathfrak{c}, \\ &\sigma(T_r) = e_rT_r, \quad \sigma(G_q) = n_qG_q, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and the coefficients are all in $\mathbb{C}$.

For any i, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, applying σ to $[L_i, L_j] = (i-j)L_{i+j} + \frac{i^3-i}{12}\delta_{i+j,0}\mathfrak{c}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &a_ia_j(i-j)L_{i+j} + \frac{i^3-i}{12}\delta_{i+j,0}a_ia_j\mathfrak{c} \\ &= (i-j)a_{i+j}L_{i+j} + (i-j)\delta_{i+j,0}c_0\mathfrak{c} + \frac{i^3-i}{12}\delta_{i+j,0}m_0\mathfrak{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of L_{i+j} and $\mathfrak{c}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(i-j)(a_{i+j} - a_ia_j) = 0, \\ &\frac{i^3-i}{12}a_ia_{-i} = 2ic_0 + \frac{i^3-i}{12}m_0, \end{aligned}$$

for any i, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, from which we can deduce

$$c_0 = 0, \quad m_0 = 1, \quad a_i = a_1^i, \quad \forall\ i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.7}$$

From the identity $\sigma([T_r, T_s]) = \frac{r}{3}\delta_{r+s,0}\sigma(\mathfrak{c})$, we can deduce

$$e_re_{-r} = 1, \quad \forall\ r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.8}$$

Using $\sigma([L_i, T_r]) = -r\sigma(T_{r+i})$ and recalling (3.7), we can deduce

$$e_{r+i} = e_ra_1^i, \quad \forall\ i \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.9}$$

which together with (3.8), gives

$$a_i = \beta^{2i}, \quad e_r = \beta^{2r}, \quad \forall\ i \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $e_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is denoted by β for convenience and also $\beta \neq 0$.

By $\sigma([G_p, G_q]) = n_pn_q[G_p, G_q]$, we have

$$\begin{cases} n_pn_q = a_{p+q} & \text{if } \ p+q \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ n_pn_q = e_{p+q} & \text{if } \ p+q \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$

which together with (3.10), give

$$n_pn_q = \beta^{2p+2q}, \quad \forall\ p,\ q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we can deduce

$$n_q = \varepsilon\beta^{2q}, \quad \forall\ q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $\varepsilon^2 = 1$. Combining (3.7), (3.9) and (3.11), we can rewrite (3.6) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{c}, \quad \sigma(L_i) = \beta^{2i}L_i, \\ &\sigma(T_r) = \beta^{2r}T_r, \quad \sigma(G_q) = \varepsilon\beta^{2q}G_q, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\varepsilon^2 = 1$. The following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\big(-(\log\beta^2)\mathrm{ad}L_0\big)(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{c}, \quad \exp\big(-(\log\beta^2)\mathrm{ad}L_0\big)(L_i) = \beta^{2i}L_i, \\ &\exp\big(-(\log\beta^2)\mathrm{ad}L_0\big)(T_r) = \beta^{2r}T_r, \quad \exp\big(-(\log\beta^2)\mathrm{ad}L_0\big)(G_q) = \beta^{2q}G_q, \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.

For convenience, we introduce the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{c}, \quad \epsilon(L_i) = L_i, \\ &\epsilon(T_r) = T_r, \quad \epsilon(G_q) = -G_q, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to check that $\epsilon^k \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})/\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The σ referred in (3.12) can be rewritten as:

$$\sigma = \epsilon^k \exp\big(-(\log\beta^2)\mathrm{ad}L_0\big), \tag{3.15}$$

for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and ϵ is determined by (3.14).

For the case $a_0 = -1$, using (3.5), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma(\mathfrak{c}) = m_0\mathfrak{c}, \quad \sigma(L_i) = a_iL_{-i} + c_0\delta_{i,0}\mathfrak{c}, \\ &\sigma(T_r) = e_rT_{-r}, \quad \sigma(G_q) = n_qG_{-q}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and the coefficients are all in $\mathbb{C}$. We still denote $e_{\frac{1}{2}}$ as β. Repeating the corresponding process, (3.16) can be simplified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma(\mathfrak{c}) = -\mathfrak{c}, \quad \sigma(L_i) = -\beta^{2i}L_{-i}, \\ &\sigma(T_r) = \beta^{2r}T_{-r}, \quad \sigma(G_q) = \omega\beta^{2q}G_{-q}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\omega^2 = -1$.

For convenience, we introduce the following two isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} &\varpi(\mathfrak{c}) = -\mathfrak{c}, \quad \varpi(L_i) = -L_{-i}, \\ &\varpi(T_r) = T_{-r}, \quad \varpi(G_q) = \sqrt{-1}G_{-q}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to check that $\varpi^k \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})/\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ for any $k \in \{4k+1 \,|\, \forall\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{4k+3 \,|\, \forall\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

The σ referred in (3.16) can be rewritten as:

$$\sigma = \varpi^k \exp\big(-(\log\beta^2)\mathrm{ad}L_0\big), \tag{3.19}$$

for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $k \in \{4k+1 \,|\, \forall\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{4k+3 \,|\, \forall\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and ϖ is determined by (3.18).

Combining (3.12), (3.15), (3.17) and (3.19), we finally arrive at the following conclusion:

$$\sigma = \varpi^k \exp\big(-(\log\beta^2)\mathrm{ad}L_0\big), \tag{3.20}$$

for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ and ϖ is determined by (3.18). Then this theorem follows. ■

4 The generalized case

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic zero with the unit identity element 1, Γ an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}$, 0 the identity element of Γ, and $s \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfying $s \notin \Gamma$ while $2s \in \Gamma$. Denote $\Gamma^* = \Gamma/\{0\}$, $\Gamma_s = s + \Gamma$ and $s\Gamma = \Gamma \cup \Gamma_s$.

The *generalized twisted* $N=2$ *superconformal algebra*, denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]$, is an infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over $\mathbb{F}$ with the basis $\{L_\gamma, T_\mu, G_u, \mathfrak{c} \,|\, \gamma \in \Gamma, \mu \in \Gamma_s, u \in s\Gamma\}$ admitting the following non-vanishing super brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} &[L_{\gamma_1}, L_{\gamma_2}] = (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)L_{\gamma_2+\gamma_1} + \tfrac{{\gamma_1}^3-\gamma_1}{12}\delta_{\gamma_2+\gamma_1,0}\mathfrak{c}, \\ &[L_\gamma, T_\mu] = -\mu T_{\mu+\gamma}, \qquad [T_{\mu_1}, T_{\mu_2}] = \tfrac{\mu_1}{3}\delta_{\mu_2+\mu_1,0}\mathfrak{c}, \\ &[L_\gamma, G_u] = (\tfrac{\gamma}{2} - u)G_{u+\gamma}, \qquad [T_\mu, G_u] = G_{u+\mu}, \\ &[G_u, G_v] = \begin{cases} 2L_{u+v} + \frac{1}{3}(u^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta_{u+v,0}\mathfrak{c} & \text{if } u,\, v \in \Gamma, \\ -2L_{u+v} - \frac{1}{3}(u^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta_{u+v,0}\mathfrak{c} & \text{if } u,\, v \in \Gamma_s, \\ (v-u)T_{u+v} & \text{if } u \in \Gamma,\, v \in \Gamma_s, \\ (u-v)T_{u+v} & \text{if } v \in \Gamma,\, u \in \Gamma_s. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

It is easy to see that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded with $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma] = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{\bar{0}} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{\bar{1}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{\bar{0}} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{L_\gamma, T_\mu, \mathfrak{c} \,|\, \gamma \in \Gamma,\, \mu \in \Gamma_s\}, \\ &\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{\bar{1}} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{G_u \,|\, u \in s\Gamma\}. \end{aligned}$$

A $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homogenous linear map $\mathfrak{D} : \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma] \to \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]$ such that there exists $[\mathfrak{D}] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathfrak{D}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{\bar{i}}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{[\bar{i}+[\mathfrak{D}]]}$ for all $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ satisfying

$$\mathfrak{D}([x,y]) = [\mathfrak{D}(x), y] + (-1)^{[\mathfrak{D}][x]}[x, \mathfrak{D}(y)] \quad \text{for } \ x,\, y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma],$$

is called a Lie superalgebra *homogenous derivations of parity* $[\mathfrak{D}]$. The derivation $\mathfrak{D}$ is called *even* if $[\mathfrak{D}] = \bar{0}$, *odd* if $[\mathfrak{D}] = \bar{1}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Der}_{\bar{i}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ the set of homogenous derivations of parity $\bar{i}$. Then $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) = \mathrm{Der}_{\bar{0}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) \oplus \mathrm{Der}_{\bar{1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ is the derivation algebra of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]$. Denote by $\mathrm{ad}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ the inner derivation algebra.

It is easy to see that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]$ is a $s\Gamma$-graded algebra: $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma] = \oplus_{u\in s\Gamma}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_u$ where $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_u = \{x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma] \,|\, [L_0, x] = -u\, x\}$. $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ is also $s\Gamma$-graded: $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) = \oplus_{u\in s\Gamma}\mathrm{Der}_u(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ where

$$\mathrm{Der}_u(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) = \{d \in \mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) \,|\, d(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_v) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{v+u},\, v \in s\Gamma\}.$$

The result on the derivation algebra $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ of the generalized twisted $N=2$ superconformal algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]$ can be formulated as the following theorem, which is not difficult to be generalized from Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.1 $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) = \mathrm{ad}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F})$.

Proof The following results can be obtained from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 without essential difference:

$$\mathrm{Der}_{\bar{1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) = \mathrm{ad}_{\bar{1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{D}_p \in \mathrm{ad}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]),$$

for any $\mathfrak{D}_p \in \mathrm{Der}_{\bar{0}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) \cap \mathrm{Der}_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ with $0 \neq p \in s\Gamma$.

For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$ and $u \in s\Gamma$, we can write

$$\mathfrak{D}_0(\mathfrak{c}) = \alpha_0\mathfrak{c}, \quad \mathfrak{D}_0(L_\gamma) = a_\gamma L_\gamma + m_\gamma\delta_{\gamma,0}\mathfrak{c}, \quad \mathfrak{D}_0(T_\mu) = b_\mu T_\mu, \quad \mathfrak{D}_0(G_u) = c_u G_u, \tag{4.2}$$

where a_γ, b_μ, c_u, m_γ, $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{F}$.

Nearly repeating the proving process of Lemma 2.3, we can obtain the following results:

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_0 = \alpha_0 = 0, \quad a_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2} = a_{\gamma_1} + a_{\gamma_2},\\
&b_{-\mu} = -b_\mu, \quad b_{\gamma+\mu} = a_\gamma + b_\mu,\\
&\begin{cases} c_u + c_v = a_{u+v} & \text{if} \quad u+v \in \Gamma,\\ c_u + c_v = b_{u+v} & \text{if} \quad u+v \in \Gamma_s, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

for all γ, γ_1, $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$ and u, $v \in s\Gamma$. Furthermore, we can deduce

$$c_\gamma = a_\gamma, \quad b_\mu = c_\mu = \frac{a_{2\mu}}{2},$$

for all $\gamma, \in \Gamma$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$ and $a_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2} = a_{\gamma_1} + a_{\gamma_2}$ for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$.

Then the identities referred in (4.2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{D}_0(L_\gamma) = a_\gamma L_\gamma, \quad \mathfrak{D}_0(T_\mu) = \frac{1}{2}a_{2\mu}T_\mu,\\
&\mathfrak{D}_0(G_\gamma) = a_\gamma G_\gamma, \quad \mathfrak{D}_0(G_\mu) = \frac{1}{2}a_{2\mu}G_\mu, \quad \mathfrak{D}_0(\mathfrak{c}) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$ and $u \in s\Gamma$.

For any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F})$, one can define the following derivation δ_φ:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_\varphi(L_\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma)L_\gamma, \quad \delta_\varphi(T_\mu) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2\mu)T_\mu,\\
&\delta_\varphi(G_\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma)G_\gamma, \quad \delta_\varphi(G_\mu) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2\mu)G_\mu, \quad \delta_\varphi(\mathfrak{c}) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\mu \in \Gamma_s$.

By now we have completed the proof of Theorem 4.1. ■

Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ and $\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ the automorphism group and inner automorphism group of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]$. For any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ and $x, y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]$, we have

$$\varphi(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{\bar{0}}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{\bar{0}}, \quad \varphi(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{\bar{1}}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]_{\bar{1}}, \quad \varphi([x,y]) = [\varphi(x), \varphi(y)]. \tag{4.3}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ is generated by

$$\exp(l_0 \,\mathrm{ad}\, L_0) \quad \text{for some } l_0 \in \mathbb{F}^*.$$

Then $\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) \cong \mathbb{F}^*$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{G}$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$, which is generated by the automorphisms determined by (4.7) and (4.8). Then the result on the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$ of the generalized twisted $N = 2$ superconformal algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]$ can be formulated as the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 $\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) = \mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) \rtimes \mathfrak{G}$.

Proof For any $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$, $u \in s\Gamma$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$, we can suppose

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(L_0) = a_0L_0 + c_0\mathfrak{c}, \quad \sigma(\mathfrak{c}) = m_0\mathfrak{c},\\
&\sigma(L_\gamma) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma} a_{\gamma,\alpha}L_\alpha + \sum_{\nu\in\Gamma_s} b_{\gamma,\nu}T_\nu + c_\gamma\mathfrak{c},\\
&\sigma(T_\mu) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma} d_{\mu,\alpha}L_\alpha + \sum_{\nu\in\Gamma_s} e_{\mu,\nu}T_\nu + f_\mu\mathfrak{c},\\
&\sigma(G_u) = \sum_{v\in s\Gamma} n_{u,v}G_v,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where a_0, m_0, $a_{\gamma,\alpha}$, $b_{\gamma,\nu}$, c_γ, $d_{\mu,\alpha}$, $e_{\mu,\nu}$, f_μ, $n_{u,v} \in \mathbb{F}$. Nearly repeating the proving process of Theorem 1.5, we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_0 \neq 0, \quad (a_0 v - u) n_{u,v} = 0,\\
&(a_0\alpha - \gamma) a_{\gamma,\alpha} = 0, \quad (a_0\nu - \gamma) b_{\gamma,\nu} = 0, \quad \gamma c_\gamma = 0,\\
&(a_0\alpha - \mu) d_{\mu,\alpha} = 0, \quad (a_0\nu - \mu) e_{\mu,\nu} = 0, \quad \mu f_\mu = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$a_{\gamma,\alpha} = b_{\gamma,\nu} = c_\gamma = d_{\mu,\beta} = e_{\mu,\nu'} = f_\mu = n_{u,v} = 0,$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$, $\beta \in \Gamma$, $\alpha \neq \varepsilon\gamma$, μ, $\nu \in \Gamma_s$, $\nu' \neq \varepsilon\mu$, $v \neq \varepsilon u$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then we can rewrite the identities referred in (4.4) as follows:

$$\sigma(L_\gamma) = a_\gamma L_{\varepsilon\gamma}, \quad \sigma(T_\mu) = e_\mu T_{\varepsilon\mu}, \quad \sigma(G_u) = n_u G_{\varepsilon u}, \tag{4.5}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$, $u \in s\Gamma$ with $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$.

For the case $\varepsilon = 1$, using (4.5), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(\mathfrak{c}) = m_0 \mathfrak{c}, \quad \sigma(L_\gamma) = a_\gamma L_\gamma + c_0 \delta_{\gamma,0} \mathfrak{c},\\
&\sigma(T_\mu) = e_\mu T_\mu, \quad \sigma(G_u) = n_u G_u,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$, $u \in s\Gamma$ and the coefficients are all in $\mathbb{F}$.

Nearly repeating the corresponding proving process given in Theorem 1.5, we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_\mu e_{-\mu} = 1, \quad e_{\mu+\gamma} = e_\mu a_\gamma,\\
&(\gamma - \alpha)(a_{\gamma+\alpha} - a_\gamma a_\alpha) = 0,\\
&\frac{\gamma^3 - \gamma}{12} a_\gamma a_{-\gamma} = 2\gamma c_0 + \frac{\gamma^3 - \gamma}{12} m_0,\\
&\begin{cases} n_u n_v = a_{u+v} & \text{if } \ u + v \in \Gamma,\\ n_u n_v = e_{u+v} & \text{if } \ u + v \in \Gamma_s, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_0 = 0, \quad m_0 = 1, \quad a_{\gamma+\alpha} = a_\gamma a_\alpha,\\
&e_\mu^2 = a_{2\mu}, \quad e_{\mu+\gamma} = e_\mu a_\gamma, \quad n_u = \begin{cases} \varepsilon a_u & \text{if } \ u \in \Gamma,\\ \varepsilon e_u & \text{if } \ u \in \Gamma_s, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

for all α, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$ and $u \in s\Gamma$. Then the identities given in (4.6) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{c}, \ \ \sigma(L_\gamma) = a_\gamma L_\gamma, \ \ \sigma(T_\mu) = e_\mu T_\mu,\\
&\sigma(G_u) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon a_u G_u & \text{if } \ u \in \Gamma,\\ \varepsilon e_u G_u & \text{if } \ u \in \Gamma_s, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$, $u \in s\Gamma$ and $\varepsilon^2 = 1$, $a_{\gamma+\alpha} = a_\gamma a_\alpha$, $e_\mu^2 = a_{2\mu}$, $e_{\mu+\gamma} = e_\mu a_\gamma$.

For the case $\varepsilon = -1$, the identities given in (4.4) and (4.6) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{c}) = -\mathfrak{c}, \ \ \sigma(L_\gamma) = a_\gamma L_{-\gamma}, \ \ \sigma(T_\mu) = e_\mu T_{-\mu},$$
$$\sigma(G_u) = \begin{cases} -\omega a_u G_{-u} & \text{if } \ u \in \Gamma, \\ \omega e_u G_{-u} & \text{if } \ u \in \Gamma_s, \end{cases}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$, $u \in s\Gamma$ and $\omega^2 = -1$, $a_{\gamma+\alpha} = -a_\gamma a_\alpha$, $e_\mu^2 = -a_{2\mu}$, $e_{\mu+\gamma} = -e_\mu a_\gamma$.

For convenience, we introduce the following notation:

$$\omega_\varepsilon^u = \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } \ \varepsilon = 1, \, u \in s\Gamma, \\ -\omega & \text{if } \ \varepsilon = -1, \, u \in \Gamma, \\ \omega & \text{if } \ \varepsilon = -1, \, u \in \Gamma_s, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ and $\omega^2 = -1$.

Then for any $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$, $u \in s\Gamma$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma])$, the identities given in (4.4) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{c}) = \varepsilon\mathfrak{c}, \ \ \sigma(L_\gamma) = a_\gamma L_{\varepsilon\gamma}, \ \ \sigma(T_\mu) = e_\mu T_{\varepsilon\mu},$$
$$\sigma(G_u) = \begin{cases} \omega_\varepsilon^u a_u G_{\varepsilon u} & \text{if } \ u \in \Gamma, \\ \omega_\varepsilon^u e_u G_{\varepsilon u} & \text{if } \ u \in \Gamma_s, \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\mu \in \Gamma_s$, $u \in s\Gamma$ and

$$a_{\gamma+\alpha} = \varepsilon a_\gamma a_\alpha, \quad e_\mu^2 = \varepsilon a_{2\mu}, \quad e_{\mu+\gamma} = \varepsilon e_\mu a_\gamma. \tag{4.8}$$

Then this theorem follows. ■

The following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 4.2 and $\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) \cong \mathbb{F}^*$.

Corollary 4.3 $\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[s\Gamma]) = \mathbb{F}^* \rtimes \mathfrak{G}$.

References

[1] M. Ademollo, L. Brink, A. d'Adda, R. Auria, E. Napolitano, S. Sciuto, E. del Giudice, P. di Vecchia, S. Ferrara, F. Gliozzi, R. Musto, R. Pettorino, Supersymmetric strings and colour confinement, *Phys. Lett. B*, **62** (1976), 105–110.

[2] M. Dörrzapf, B. Gato-Rivera, Singular dimensions of the $N=2$ superconformal algebras II: the twisted $N=2$ algebra, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **220** (2001), 263–292.

[3] W. Eholzer, M.R. Gaberdiel, Unitarity of rational $N=2$ superconformal theories, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **186** (1997), 61–85.

[4] J. Fu, Q. Jiang, Y. Su, Classification of modules of the intermediate series over Ramond $N=2$ superconformal algebras, *J. Math. Phys.*, **48** (2007), 1–15.

[5] H. Fa, J. Li, B. Xin, Lie superbialgebra structures on the centerless twisted $N=2$ superconformal algebra, *Algebra Colloq.*, **18** (3) (2011), 361–372.

[6] K. Iohara, Y. Koga, Representation theory of $N = 2$ super Virasoro algebra II: twisted sector, *J. Fun. Analysis*, **214** (2004), 450–518.

[7] E. Kiritsis, Character formula and the structure of the represetations of the $N=1$, $N=2$ superconformal algebras, *J. Mod. Phys. A*, **3** (1988), 1871–1906.

[8] V.G. Kac, Lie superalgebras, *Adv. Math.*, **26** (1977), 8–97.

[9] V.G. Kac, J.W. van de Leuer, *On classification of superconformal algebras.* Sinapore: World Scientific, (1988).

[10] D. Liu, L. Chen, L. Zhu, Lie superbialgebra structures on the $N=2$ superconformal Neveu-Schwarz algebra, *J. Geometry and Phys.*, **62** (2012), 3826–831.

[11] S.H. Ng, E.J. Taft, Classification of the Lie bialgebra structures on the Witt and Virasoro algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.*, **151** (2000), 67–88.

[12] H. Yang, Y. Su, Lie bialgebras over the Ramond $N = 2$ super-Virasoro algebras, *Chaos, Solutions & Fractals*, **40** (2009), 661–671.